

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Multiple green space areas have been identified within this strategy to be built on without extreme reasons being detailed or proposals for additional green space to replace that which has been allocated to housing developments.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Do not build on Greenbelt until all other areas within each borough have been explored.
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Multiple housing developments have been identified in this document without addressing inadequate transport links and provision.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Consider improvements to public transport in areas that are not well served by local transport to reduce emissions by increased traffic related to the increased density of housing.
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	Proposals for development in Salford and Wigan are on multiple areas of Greenbelt land rather than Brownfield. There is a lack of infrastructure and amenities detailed in many of the proposed housing developments in Salford and Wigan. People will need to

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	get in their car and travel to the schools, park, doctors, dentist, shops and other amenities. It is doubtful that the housing in these areas will be affordable- especially the 300 executive homes in Boothstown.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Identify areas of brownfield to develop rather than Greenbelt land.
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	300 executive homes in Boothstown Salford do not sound affordable! In addition, the current Parr Bridge developments in Mosley Common are an average of £350,000 if president is set by this the new housing developments in the area will be comparable. This is not affordable housing.
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Proposals sound positive, but I don't recall reading about one bungalow, assisted living, sheltered housing or retirement homes in any of the proposals for Salford.
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Your policy may sound effective, however the proposals for Salford and Wigan are to destroy Greenbelt land and replace with housing! This goes directly against your proposals, meaning that the developments in these areas will directly;</p> <p>maximise the need to travel, more people to live further away from shops and services.</p> <p>As the proposals are on Greenbelt rather than brownfield will mean people are not living in the most accessible places, it will reduce the proportion of trips made by walking, cycling and public transport, and increase the demand for car-based travel</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	Actually put proposals forward for Salford and Wigan that do not go against your own strategies!! You have provided reasons why Greenbelt should be protected and then identified multiple sites for development going against everyone of your points to preserve Green space!

or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Green space land; the framework states that there must be exceptional circumstances for the Greenbelt boundaries to be altered. This is Greenbelt land, the proposals/reports do not give an exceptional reason for the development on Greenbelt land. The report indicates a plethora of animals, wildlife and vegetation- loss of habitat will reduce the current wildlife and impact the environment as a whole.</p> <p>Baseline air; Salford has one of the worse pollution levels in Europe- hundreds of new homes will further add to the pollution problems. AQMA have been declared in areas close to the site. Clean air Greater Manchester states that "pollution contributes to the equivalent of around 1,200 deaths a year in GM alone" A580 east Lancashire road already suffers from extreme congestion at the proposed site continuing up to Manchester. This development will add to the congestion and resultant pollution problem. Surely it cannot be legal to add to already under a AQMA?</p> <p>Salford Council is already under legal obligations to deliver a compliance plan due to exceedances on limits on a number of roads. A580 and M60 exceeds the recommendations- these are arteries into Manchester and major motorway links. It cannot be legal to add more cars to the area without addressing the current problem with air quality? With a loss of green space to help to counteract this I am greatly concerned for the health and well-being of my family. Legal president has been set with a landmark case that highlighted the effects of pollution on health of a child- I cannot accept that it is legal to add traffic to an area that is already under legal obligations to improve air quality.</p> <p>Education; There are plans for a primary school, but no plans for secondary school aged children. Schools are over subscribed- where are secondary aged children going to attend school?</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect	<p>Produce the 'exceptional circumstances'that warrant the destruction of Greenbelt.</p> <p>Demonstrate clear downward trend in pollution before considering adding more houses/cars to an already heavily polluted area.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Green space land; the framework states that there must be exceptional circumstances for the Greenbelt boundaries to be altered. This is Greenbelt land, the proposals/reports do not give an exceptional reason for the development on Greenbelt land. The report indicates a plethora of animals, wildlife and vegetation- loss of habitat will reduce the current wildlife and impact the environment as a whole. RHS culled wild Deer on the same area of land so that investments and profits could be protected- this is another cull of wildlife on green belt land to increase revenue- it's deplorable.</p> <p>Water; point 2.4 of flood docs indicates that development will have a major change in the water environment- with a deterioration of overall status. Report indicates there area already has poor and bad water classifications, why add to the problem? One flood report suggests there isn't a problem with surface water however as any resident would know Leigh road floods whenever there is a down pour- along with many surrounding areas and residence both residential and commercial as was the case a few nights ago. The drainage can't cope. Loss of natural drainage from loss of green space will further impact this.</p> <p>Baseline air; your reports indicate that AQMA have been declared on areas of Leigh Road. Clean air Greater Manchester states that "pollution contributes to the equivalent of around 1,200 deaths a year in GM alone" Leigh road is the main route to J13, the road currently struggles to cope in rush hour- this is without the extra cars associated with the RHS and current developments on the Bellway Eden field in Boothstown and the Bellway Ellements/ Parr Bridge in Mosley Common- not to mention the 1100 homes further in Mosley Common. Surely it cannot be legal to add to an area already under a AQMA? Furthermore proposals in the Clean Air Greater Manchester to charge certain large vehicles including HGVs and other high pollutant vehicles to use sections of A580 and Worsley Road will force the most heavily pollutant vehicles through Boothstown and Leigh Road to avoid the charge increasing pollutants in a AQMA.</p> <p>Salford Council is already under legal obligations to deliver a compliance plan due to exceedances on limits on a number of roads. A580 and M60 exceeds the recommendations- these are arteries into Manchester and major</p>

	<p>motorway links. You cannot assume that because these are not within 1km of the development, that the resultant traffic from the development will not add to the overall pollution in Salford. It cannot be legal to add more cars to the area without addressing the current problem with air quality? The report highlights this and expresses concern for air quality. With a loss of green space to help to counteract this I am greatly concerned for the health and well-being of my family. Legal precedent has been set with a landmark case that highlighted the effects of pollution on health of a child- I cannot accept that it is legal to add traffic to an area that is already under legal obligations to improve air quality.</p> <p>Education; Report indicates a deficit of 754 by 2023 with 3 primary schools in area over subscribed by 1,054. Hazel Hurst farm may be able to offer places if they are within the catchment area- but it is likely that this won't be within walking distance and will therefore contribute to further traffic. There needs to be realistic plans to address both Primary and Secondary education.</p> <p>There was a lack of evidence relating to health care provisions-in fact I did not see one report on this. Based on 4 people per home, an extra 1,200 people will need access to doctors and dentists, as most practices are not accepting new patients.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>To show evidence of compliance plan for air quality with results that indicate an overall downward trend in pollutants of a 5yr period across all areas of AQMA. To address the overall impact of traffic and associated pollutants on air quality from neighbouring developments in Mosley Common/Wigan which will use Leigh Road/A580 and Boothstown to access motorways. Once a clear reduction in pollutants is evidenced then revisit the plan for additional houses in Salford and surrounding councils- as started in the Clean Air Greater Manchester- Pollution knows no boundaries.</p> <p>To produce evidence of the 'exceptional circumstances' that warrants the destruction of Greenbelt land. To show evidence of projections of the overall effect of loss in green belt land and its impact on wildlife, air quality and quality of life for residents.</p> <p>To provide a clear plan to address the overall impact on schools and healthcare.</p> <p>To address the current problems with flooding before a new development is considered.</p>
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JPA 28: North of Irlam Station
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Greenbelt land with a rich biodiversity. Your policies are not to build on and to protect Greenbelt land. This does not do this, it destroys it.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Identify brownfield land as described in your overall strategies.
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JPA 29: Port Salford Extension
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again, Greenbelt land. It would appear that every proposed development within Salford is in Greenbelt land, it's deplorable and shameful.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	Identify brownfield land rather than Greenbelt.

or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Green space land; the framework states that there must be exceptional circumstances for the Greenbelt boundaries to be altered. This is Greenbelt land, the proposals/reports do not give an exceptional reason for the development on Greenbelt land. The report indicates a plethora of animals, wildlife and vegetation- loss of habitat will reduce the current wildlife and impact the environment as a whole.</p> <p>Baseline air; The Greater Manchester Clean Air plan states that pollution knows no boundaries, this is certainly the case here. Salford council has one of the worse pollution levels in Europe- hundreds of new homes will further add to the pollution problems. AQMA have been declared in areas close to the site. Clean air Greater Manchester states that "pollution contributes to the equivalent of around 1,200 deaths a year in GM alone" As they site boundaries Salford is can be concluded that the cars from the houses will travel through Salford to access the A580, M60, M61 and other motorways. Mosley Common road at its junction with the A580 already suffers greatly with congestion at any point during the day. Mosley Common Road cannot cope with increased traffic from the proposed development, it already cannot cope with the cars from the new development at Parr Bridge. AQMA have been declared on Leigh Road, M60 and at various points on A580. 1100 new homes will result in approximately 2,000 cars in an already over polluted over developed area.</p> <p>Proposals noted for Primary School provision, no plans detailed for secondary aged students, where are they going to go to school? High schools are over subscribed too.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	<p>Demonstrate exceptional circumstances as to why Greenbelt land will be destroyed. Exhaust all other options first.</p> <p>Only develop once Salford Council have demonstrated that they can reduce the pollution in Salford as the traffic from the site will add to Salford's pollution problem.</p> <p>Demonstrate a plan for secondary aged children.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

you have identified above.	
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Green space land; the framework states that there must be exceptional circumstances for the Greenbelt boundaries to be altered. This is Greenbelt land, the proposals/reports do not give an exceptional reason for the development on Greenbelt land. The report indicates a plethora of animals, wildlife and vegetation- loss of habitat will reduce the current wildlife and impact the environment as a whole.</p> <p>AQMA have been declared in areas close to the site. Clean air Greater Manchester states that "pollution contributes to the equivalent of around 1,200 deaths a year in GM alone". Although other areas close to development have air quality levels deemed suitable for development, I fear that this will not be the case if this development goes ahead due to increase in traffic and loss of Greenbelt to counteract the pollution.</p> <p>No detailed plans for public transport improvements.</p> <p>No plans for schools, amenities, recreation, doctors, dentists. This needs to be addressed as local resources are already under strain.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Provide details of why the Greenbelt land should be used for housing. Explore all other options before destroying Greenbelt.
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	Salford - Green Belt Additions
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

GBA Salford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below	Salford GBA26 Land South East of Slack Brook Open Space Salford GBA27 West Salford Greenway Salford GBA28 Part of Logistics North Country Park Salford GBA29 Land West of Burgess Farm Salford GBA30 Blackleach Country Park
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Unfortunately, as it has been proven within this strategic framework, designating an area as Greenbelt ultimately offers it no protection as other Greenbelt areas have been allocated for housing development. I hope that this land is protected into the future.
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Seddon
Given Name	Louise
Person ID	1286281
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No